UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,981	04/17/2006	Vincent Jemelin	19724	8604
272 7590 04/07/2009 SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C.			EXAMINER	
400 GARDEN		AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,981	JEMELIN, VINCENT	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		WALTER B. AGGILLABAGGIT	17.54
The MAILII	NG DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>26</u>	March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
application, application in confor Continued Exa periods:	ed after a final rejection, but prior to or on cant must timely file one of the following adition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
no event, howe Examiner Note	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ever, will the statutory period for reply expire is a: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be have been filed is the date under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is const forth in (b) above, if ch	THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(e obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date e for purposes of determining the period of extended alculated from: (1) the expiration date of the statement. Any reply received by the Office later atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	peal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice o	f Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) They raise	mendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be new issues that would require further con the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOา	
(c) They are no appeal; and	ot deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
			ected claims.
	s are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
non-allowable cla			
how the new or a The status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected Claim(s) rejected	d to:		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHEI	R EVIDENCE		
because applican	ther evidence filed after a final action, bu nt failed to provide a showing of good and resented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered because	ther evidence filed after the date of filing the affidavit or other evidence failed to o and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	other evidence is entered. An explanation ONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attache 13. ☐ Other:	ed Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent	Examiner, Art Unit 1794		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the proposed combination of references does not teach a capsule that "is formed exclusively of cyclic olefin copolymer" because the secondary reference Sudo requires an inorganic coating along with the cyclic olefin copolymer layer. However, the primary reference Berghahn does not require an inorganic layer, and Sudo is relied upon for its teaching of cyclic olefin copolymer layer as a suitable polymeric material for a container for the stable and sanitary storage of medicine.

Furthermore, Applicant's own claim language requires that the recitation of a capsule that "is formed exclusively of cyclic olefin copolymer" to refer solely to the polymeric base of a container because Applicant's claim 14 recites that "the capsule is coated with SiOx". Applicant's argument regarding the inorganic layer of Sudo contradicts Applicant's own claim 14, since claim 14 requires an SiOx coating. If the capsule comprises an SiOx coating, the recitation "is formed exclusively of cyclic olefin copolymer" must be read as referring to solely the polymeric base of the container. Applicant's claim language has identified the capsule and the SiOx coating on the capsule as two separate components. Sudo teaches a capsule that is coated with SiOx that corresponds to Applicant's claimed capsule that is coated with SiOx in claim 14. The phrase "formed exclusively of" of claim 10 cannot be read to preclude an inorganic coating for the purpose of claim 10, and at the same time allow for an inorganic coating for the purpose of dependent claim 14. -WA